

INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD

ISO/IEC
11179-30

First edition
2023-01

**Information technology — Metadata
registries (MDR) —**

**Part 30:
Basic attributes of metadata**



Reference number
ISO/IEC 11179-30:2023(E)

© ISO/IEC 2023



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO/IEC 2023

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword	vi
Introduction	vii
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Conformance	4
4.1 Overview of conformance.....	4
4.2 Degree of conformance.....	4
4.2.1 General.....	4
4.2.2 Strictly conforming implementations.....	5
4.2.3 Conforming implementations.....	5
4.3 Implementation conformance statement (ICS).....	5
5 Basic attributes	5
5.1 Use of basic attributes.....	5
5.2 Common attributes.....	6
5.2.1 Identifying attributes.....	6
5.2.2 Naming attributes.....	6
5.2.3 Definitional attributes.....	7
5.2.4 Administrative attributes.....	7
5.2.5 Relational attributes.....	7
5.3 Attributes specific to data element concepts.....	8
5.4 Attributes specific to data elements.....	8
5.5 Attributes specific to conceptual domains.....	8
5.6 Attributes specific to value domains.....	8
5.7 Attributes specific to permissible values.....	9
5.8 Attributes specific to value meanings.....	9
Bibliography	10

List of Figures

List of Tables

Table 1 — Identifying attributes	6
Table 2 — Naming attributes	6
Table 3 — Definitional attributes	7
Table 4 — Administrative attributes	7
Table 5 — Relational attributes	7
Table 6 — Attributes specific to data element concepts	8
Table 7 — Attributes specific to data elements	8
Table 8 — Attributes specific to conceptual domains	8
Table 9 — Attributes specific to value domains	8
Table 10 — Attributes specific to permissible values	9
Table 11 — Attributes specific to value meanings	9

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives or www.iec.ch/members_experts/refdocs).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents) or the IEC list of patent declarations received (see <https://patents.iec.ch>).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html. In the IEC, see www.iec.ch/understanding-standards.

This document was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC/JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 32, *Data management and interchange*.

This first edition of ISO/IEC 11179-30 cancels and replaces ISO/IEC TS 11179-30:2019, which has been technically revised.

The main changes are as follows:

- removed the prior dependence on ISO/IEC 11179-3^[4], since this document is intended for use when a metadata registry is not appropriate;
- reflect the relocation of the metamodel for data specification registration from ISO/IEC 11179-3 to ISO/IEC 11179-31^[5].

A list of all parts in the ISO/IEC 11179 series can be found on the ISO and IEC websites.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html and www.iec.ch/national-committees.

Introduction

Data processing and electronic data interchange rely heavily on accurate, reliable, controllable and verifiable data recorded in databases. A prerequisite for correct and proper use and interpretation of data is that both users and owners of data have a common understanding of the meaning and representation of the data. To facilitate this common understanding, a number of characteristics, or attributes, of the data have to be defined. These characteristics of data are known as “metadata”, that is, “data that describe data”. The ISO/IEC 11179 series provides a family of conceptual metamodels for the attributes of data elements and associated metadata to be specified and registered as metadata items in a metadata registry (MDR).

This document provides a simplified presentation of the basic attributes which are required to describe data elements and associated metadata, and which might be used in situations where a complete ISO/IEC 11179-3 metadata registry is not appropriate (e.g. in the specification of other International Standards).

This document applies to the definition, specification and contents of collections of metadata, including interchanging or referencing among such collections.

Information technology — Metadata registries (MDR) —

Part 30: Basic attributes of metadata

1 Scope

This document specifies “basic attributes” which are required to describe metadata in situations where a complete ISO/IEC 11179-3^[4] metadata registry is not appropriate (e.g. in the specification of other International Standards).

2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1

object

anything perceivable or conceivable

Note 1 to entry: Objects can be material (e.g. 'engine', 'sheet of paper', 'diamond'), immaterial (e.g. 'conversion ratio', 'project plan') or imagined (e.g. 'unicorn', 'scientific hypothesis').

[SOURCE: ISO 1087:2019, 3.1.1]

3.2

property

feature of an *object* ([3.1](#))

EXAMPLE 1 'Being made of wood' as a property of a given 'table'.

EXAMPLE 2 'Belonging to person A' as a property of a given 'pet'.

EXAMPLE 3 'Having been formulated by Einstein' as a property of the equation 'E = mc²'.

EXAMPLE 4 'Being compassionate' as a property of a given 'person'.

EXAMPLE 5 'Having a given cable' as a property of a given 'computer mouse'.

Note 1 to entry: One or more objects can have the same property.

[SOURCE: ISO 1087:2019, 3.1.3]

**3.3
characteristic**

abstraction of a *property* (3.2)

EXAMPLE 'Having a cable for connecting with a computer' as a characteristic of the concept 'cord mouse'.

Note 1 to entry: Characteristics are used for describing *concepts* (3.4).

[SOURCE: ISO 1087:2019, 3.2.1]

**3.4
concept**

unit of knowledge created by a unique combination of *characteristics* (3.3)

Note 1 to entry: Concepts are not necessarily bound to particular natural languages. They are, however, influenced by the social or cultural background which often leads to different categorizations.

Note 2 to entry: A concept is independent of its representation.

[SOURCE: ISO 1087:2019, 3.2.7, modified — Note 2 to entry has been changed]

**3.5
attribute**

characteristic (3.3) of an *object* (3.1) or set of objects

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 11179-3:2023, 3.1.11]

**3.6
basic attribute**

attribute (3.5) of a *metadata item* (3.10) commonly needed in its specification

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 11179-3:2023, 3.2.72, modified — “registry item” has been replaced by “metadata item” since this document applies to metadata outside the context of a registry.]

**3.7
data**

reinterpretable representation of information in a formalized manner suitable for communication, interpretation or processing

Note 1 to entry: Data can be processed by humans or by automatic means.

Note 2 to entry: Data may also be described using the terminological notions defined in ISO 1087 and the computational notions defined in ISO/IEC 11404:2007. A datum is a designation of a concept with a notion of equality defined for that concept.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 2382:2015, 2121272 — Notes to entry have been modified]

**3.8
data model**

graphical and/or lexical representation of *data* (3.7), specifying their *properties* (3.2), structure, and interrelationships

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 11179-1:2023, 3.2.24]

**3.9
metadata**

data (3.7) that define and describe other data

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 11179-1:2023, 3.2.26]

3.10**metadata item**

instance of a *metadata object* ([3.11](#))

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 11179-3:2023, 3.2.58, modified — “in a *metadata registry*” deleted, since this document applies to metadata outside the context of a registry.]

3.11**metadata object**

object type defined by a *metamodel* ([3.12](#))

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 11179-3:2023, 3.2.31, modified — Notes to entry deleted.]

3.12**metamodel**

data model ([3.8](#)) that specifies one or more other models, such as data models, process models, ontologies, etc.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 11179-1:2023, 3.2.27]

3.13**data element**

(organization of data) unit of *data* ([3.7](#)) that is considered in context to be indivisible

Note 1 to entry: The definition states that a data element is “indivisible” in some context. This means that it is possible that a data element considered indivisible in one context (e.g. telephone number) might be divisible in another context, (e.g. country code, area code, local number).

EXAMPLE The data element “age of a person” with values consisting of all combinations of 3 decimal digits.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 2382:2015, 2121599, modified — Example has been moved to the end without the Note to entry prefix, other Notes to entry have been replaced.]

3.14**data element concept**

concept ([3.4](#)) that can be represented in the form of a *data element* ([3.13](#)), described independently of any particular representation

Note 1 to entry: A data element concept is implicitly associated with both the property and the object class whose combination it expresses.

Note 2 to entry: A data element concept may also be associated with zero, one or more *conceptual domains* ([3.15](#)) each of which expresses its *value meanings* ([3.18](#)).

Note 3 to entry: A data element concept may also be associated with zero, one or more *data elements* ([3.13](#)) each of which provide representation for the data element concept via its associated *value domain* ([3.16](#)).

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 11179-31:2023, 3.25]

3.15**conceptual domain****CD**

concept ([3.4](#)) whose meaning is expressed as an enumerated set, a description of subordinate concepts or both, which are *value meanings* ([3.18](#))

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 11179-31:2023, 3.5]

3.16
value domain
VD

set of *permissible values* (3.17)

Note 1 to entry: The *value domain* provides representation but has no implication as to what *data element concept* (3.14) the values are associated with nor what the values mean.

Note 2 to entry: The *permissible values* can either be enumerated, expressed via a description, or a combination of the two.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 11179-31:2023, 3.13]

3.17
permissible value

designation of a *value meaning* (3.18)

Note 1 to entry: Permissible values may be specified either as part of a *value domain* (3.16) or only associated with a *value meaning* (3.18).

Note 2 to entry: Within a value domain, permissible values can either be enumerated, expressed via a description, or a combination of the two.

Note 3 to entry: Explicit mapping of a single permissible value to a single value meaning is possible only when both the value meaning and permissible value are enumerated, e.g. for code sets. For described permissible values, it is possible for the described meaning to be associated with a range of values, e.g. weight in kilograms.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 11179-31:2023, 3.19]

3.18
value meaning

semantic content of a value

Note 1 to entry: The representation of *value meanings* shall be independent of (and shall not constrain) their representation in any corresponding *value domain* (3.16).

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 11179-31:2023, 3.10, modified — reference to “registry” has been removed.]

4 Conformance

4.1 Overview of conformance

Conformance may be claimed to some or all of the basic attributes. Conformance claims shall specify a degree of conformance, as described in 4.2.

Conformance statements with respect to this document shall also be explicit as to which portions of this document conformity is being claimed. This may be done by reference to the relevant clauses.

4.2 Degree of conformance

4.2.1 General

The distinction between “strictly conforming” and “conforming” implementations is necessary to address the simultaneous needs for interoperability and extensions. This document describes specifications that promote interoperability. Extensions are motivated by needs of users, vendors, institutions, and industries, and:

- a) are not specified by this document;
- b) are specified and agreed to outside this document; and

- c) may serve as trial usage for future editions of this document.

A strictly conforming implementation can be limited in usefulness but is maximally interoperable with respect to this document. A conforming implementation can be more useful but can be less interoperable with respect to this document.

4.2.2 Strictly conforming implementations

A strictly conforming implementation:

- a) shall support all mandatory, optional and conditional attributes;
- b) shall not use, test, access, or probe for any extension features nor extensions to the attributes;
- c) shall not recognize, nor act on, nor allow the production of attributes that are dependent on any unspecified, undefined, or implementation-defined behaviour.

NOTE The use of extensions to the basic attributes can cause undefined behaviour.

4.2.3 Conforming implementations

A conforming implementation:

- a) shall support all mandatory, optional and conditional attributes;
- b) as permitted by the implementation, may use, test, access, or probe for extension features or extensions to the attributes;
- c) may recognize, act on, or allow the production of attributes that are dependent on implementation-defined behaviour.

NOTE 1 All strictly conforming implementations are also conforming implementations.

NOTE 2 The use of extensions to the basic attributes can cause undefined behaviour.

4.3 Implementation conformance statement (ICS)

An implementation claiming conformance to this document shall include an implementation conformance statement stating:

- a) whether it conforms or strictly conforms;
- b) which clauses are supported;
- c) what extensions, if any, are supported or used.

5 Basic attributes

5.1 Use of basic attributes

ISO/IEC 11179-3^[4] describes common facilities for specifying metadata in a registry. Other parts of the ISO/IEC 11179 series extend the model for specific types of metadata. However, sometimes the requirement for metadata specification exists outside the context of a registry, for example as part of an International Standard. This document is intended to satisfy that requirement.

Often the requirement is focused on “basic attributes” of data elements. However, the scope of this part extends beyond just data elements to include attributes of data element concepts, conceptual domains, value domains, permissible values and value meanings.

A specification of metadata consists of a set of attributes, and relationships among those attributes. This Clause specifies a set of basic attributes to be used in contexts other than a metadata registry. “Basic” means that they are frequently needed to specify a metadata item. The attributes specified in this Clause are also considered basic in the sense that additional attributes might be required when the metadata items are used in a particular context.

“Basic” does not imply that all standardized attributes presented in this clause are required in all cases. Distinction is made between those basic attributes that are:

- mandatory: always required;
- conditional: required to be present under certain specified conditions;
- optional: permitted but not required.

NOTE The obligations specified for some basic attributes (especially identifiers) in contexts other than a registry are different from those specified for metadata items in a registry, as defined in ISO/IEC 11179-3.

5.2 Common attributes

The attributes listed in this subclause are common to all types of metadata. These attributes are further categorized as: identifying, naming, definitional, administrative, and relational.

5.2.1 Identifying attributes

Table 1 lists the identifying attributes.

Table 1 — Identifying attributes

Attribute	Obligation
item identifier ^a	Zero or more per metadata item. Required if name (see 5.2.2) is not unique within a given context.
item identifier – identifier	One per item identifier. (The mandatory portion of an item identifier.)
item identifier – registration authority identifier ^b	Zero or one per item identifier. (The optional portion of an item identifier.)
version	Zero or one per metadata item.
^a While item identifier is mandatory within a registry, it is only conditional in non-registry usages. The requirement for an item identifier can be eliminated by qualifying name, context name or both to ensure that the combination is unique.	
^b While item registration authority identifier is mandatory within a registry, it is optional in non-registry settings.	

5.2.2 Naming attributes

Table 2 lists the naming attributes.

Table 2 — Naming attributes

Attribute	Obligation
name	One or more per metadata item. ^a
designation language	Zero or one per name
context name	Zero or more per metadata item. Required if more than one name attribute exists.
context identifier	Zero or one per metadata item. Required if context name is not unique within its usage context (e.g. a standard).
context description	One per context name.
^a If more than one name is specified within a given context, it is usual to nominate one name as "preferred", and the others (the synonyms) as "accepted".	

Table 2 (continued)

Attribute	Obligation
designation acceptability	Zero or one per name. ^a
^a If more than one name is specified within a given context, it is usual to nominate one name as "preferred", and the others (the synonyms) as "accepted".	

5.2.3 Definitional attributes

[Table 3](#) lists the definitional attributes.

Table 3 — Definitional attributes

Attribute	Obligation
definition	One for each context in which the metadata item is used.
definition language	Zero or one per definition.
definition source reference	Zero or one per definition.

Where multiple definitions are assigned to the same metadata item, the semantics of the definition should be the same across all contexts. (If the semantics are different, separate metadata items should be specified.) However, the terminology used to express the semantics might need to be different in different contexts, and thus separate definitions are permitted for each context.

5.2.4 Administrative attributes

Administrative attributes are primarily associated with recording metadata items in a registry. They are therefore optional in non-registry settings.

[Table 4](#) lists the administrative attributes.

Table 4 — Administrative attributes

Attribute	Obligation
comments	Zero or one per metadata item.
registration status	Zero or one per metadata item.
responsible organization	Zero or one per metadata item.
submitting organization	Zero or one per metadata item.

5.2.5 Relational attributes

[Table 5](#) lists the relational attributes.

Table 5 — Relational attributes

Attribute	Obligation
classification scheme name	One for each classification scheme in which a metadata item is classified.
classification scheme identifier	Zero or one per classification scheme name. Required if classification scheme name is not unique within a context.
classification scheme item value	One for each classification scheme item by which a metadata item is classified.
related metadata reference	Zero or more per metadata item. ^a
^a A registration authority could choose to use a reference document, an administrative note or an explanatory comment to record a related metadata reference.	

Table 5 (continued)

Attribute	Obligation
type of relationship	One per related metadata reference.
^a A registration authority could choose to use a reference document, an administrative note or an explanatory comment to record a related metadata reference.	

5.3 Attributes specific to data element concepts

[Table 6](#) lists attributes specific to data element concepts.

Table 6 — Attributes specific to data element concepts

Attribute	Obligation
object class name	Zero or one per data element concept.
object class identifier	Zero or one per data element concept.
property name	Zero or one per data element concept.
property identifier	Zero or one per data element concept.

5.4 Attributes specific to data elements

[Table 7](#) lists attributes specific to data elements.

Table 7 — Attributes specific to data elements

Attribute	Obligation
value domain name	Zero or one per data element.
value domain identifier	Zero or one per data element.
datatype name	Zero or one per data element. Required if neither value domain name nor value domain identifier is not specified.
datatype scheme reference	Zero or one per datatype name.
layout of representation	Zero or one per data element.
maximum size	Zero or one per data element.
minimum size	Zero or one per data element.

5.5 Attributes specific to conceptual domains

[Table 8](#) lists attributes specific to conceptual domains.

Table 8 — Attributes specific to conceptual domains

Attribute	Obligation
dimensionality	Zero or one per conceptual domain.

5.6 Attributes specific to value domains

[Table 9](#) lists attributes specific to value domains.

Table 9 — Attributes specific to value domains

Attribute	Obligation
datatype name	One per value domain.
datatype scheme reference	Zero or one per datatype name.

Table 9 (continued)

Attribute	Obligation
unit of measure name	Zero or one per value domain.

5.7 Attributes specific to permissible values

[Table 10](#) lists attributes specific to permissible values.

Table 10 — Attributes specific to permissible values

Attribute	Obligation
permitted value	One per permissible value.
permissible value begin date	Zero or one per permissible value.
permissible value end date	Zero or one per permissible value.

5.8 Attributes specific to value meanings

[Table 11](#) lists attributes specific to value meanings.

Table 11 — Attributes specific to value meanings

Attribute	Obligation
value meaning description	One per value meaning.
value meaning identifier	Zero or one per value meaning.
value meaning begin date	Zero or one per value meaning.
value meaning end date	Zero or one per value meaning.

Bibliography

- [1] ISO 1087:2019, *Terminology work and terminology science — Vocabulary*
- [2] ISO/IEC 2382:2015, *Information technology — Vocabulary*
- [3] ISO/IEC 11179-1:2023, *Information technology — Metadata registries (MDR) — Part 1: Framework*
- [4] ISO/IEC 11179-3:2023, *Information technology — Metadata registries (MDR) — Part 3: Metamodel for registry common facilities*
- [5] ISO/IEC 11179-31:2023, *Information technology — Metadata registries (MDR) — Part 31: Metamodel for data specification registration*

